WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	Jor	ge Ra	amos-Chaidez Case Number: CR	Case Number: CR-14-1520-5-PHX-NVW (ESW)			
			e Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been sare established: (Check one or both, as applicable.)	en submitted to the Court. I conclude			
	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.						
X	by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.						
			PART I FINDINGS OF FACT				
	(1)		S.C. §3142 (e)(2)(A): The defendant has been convicted of a (feddal have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal	, ·			
			a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).				
			an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonmen	t or death.			
			an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten ye	ears or more is prescribed in			
			a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convidescribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	cted of two or more prior federal offenses or local offenses.			
			any felony that involves a minor victim or that involves the possed device (as those terms are defined in section 921), or any other to register under 18 U.S.C. §2250.	ession or use of a firearm or destructive dangerous weapon, or involves a failure			
	(2)	18 U.S pendin	.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense described in finding 1 was comning trial for a federal, state or local offense.	nitted while the defendant was on release			
	(3) 18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.						
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant ha not rebutted this presumption.					
			Alternative Findings				
	(1)	18 U.S	S.C. 3142(e)(3): There is probable cause to believe that the defen	dant has committed an offense			
			for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more	e is prescribed in1			
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b.				
			under 18 U.S.C. 1581-1594, for which a maximum term of impriprescribed.	sonment of 20 years or more is			
			an offense involving a minor victim under section	.2			
	(2)		defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding itions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as re	1 that no condition or combination of			

¹Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

 $^{{}^{2}\}text{Insert as applicable 18 U.S.C. }\S 1201, 1591, 2241-42, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3, 2252(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.$

Case 2:14-cr-01520-NVW Document 41 Filed 12/02/14 Page 2 of 3

•	14	4.			
Δ	Itorn	ative	-ın	ain	ae
$\overline{}$		auve		ulli	uэ

(2) No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community in the community of the community	reaten, injure, or
PART II - WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)	·
PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.) I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and devidence as to danger that:	y clear and convincin
I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and devidence as to danger that: (2) I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that: The defendant is not a citizen of the United States. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Conforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonal calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of	y clear and convincin
evidence as to danger that: Content	y clear and convincin
The defendant is not a citizen of the United States. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Conferencement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonal calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of	
The defendant is not a citizen of the United States. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Conferencement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonal calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of	
The defendant is not a citizen of the United States. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Conference, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonal calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of	
The defendant is not a citizen of the United States. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Conforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonal calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of	
The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Content (and the proceeding) immigration of this Court. The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonal calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of	
If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Content Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonal calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of	
 □ The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. □ The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonal calculated to assure his/her future appearance. ☑ The defendant has a prior criminal history. □ The defendant lives and works in Mexico. □ The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States is substantial family ties to Mexico. □ There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. □ The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. □ The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of 	
 □ The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonal calculated to assure his/her future appearance. ☑ The defendant has a prior criminal history. □ The defendant lives and works in Mexico. □ The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States substantial family ties to Mexico. □ There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. □ The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. □ The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of 	ation and Customs
 calculated to assure his/her future appearance. ☑ The defendant has a prior criminal history. ☐ The defendant lives and works in Mexico. ☐ The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States substantial family ties to Mexico. ☐ There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. ☐ The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. ☐ The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of 	a.
 The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of 	ond reasonably
 The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of 	
substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of	
The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of	nited States and has
The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of	
	nent.
The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:	a maximum of
The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:	
The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:	
	t:

³The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

Case 2:14-cr-01520-NVW Document 41 Filed 12/02/14 Page 3 of 3

×	In addition:
	The defendant submitted the issue of detention.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 26th day of November, 2014.

Michelle H. Burns
United States Magistrate Judge